IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G. BIKSHAPATHY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 18533 of 2004

Between:

N. Srinivas Rao, S/o N.V. Krishnaiah,
R/o 15-6-66, Parthasarathi Naidu Street, Bapatla,

Guntur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary,

General Administration Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission,

Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more in the nature of Certiorari calling for the records relating to the Order of the Administrative Tribunal in O.A.No. 5193 of 2004, dt. 14-9-2004 read with the Supplementary Notification No. 6 of 2004, dated 7-8-2004 to Notification No. 21 of 2003, dated 13-11-2003 issued by the A.P. Public Service Commission and set aside the same in so far as prescribing the maximum age limit of 33 years instead of 34 years as on 1-7-99 and consequently hold that the petitioner is entitled to participate in the process of selection pursuant to the Supplementary Notification No.6 of 2004, dated 7-8-2004 with all consequential

benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.SATYANARYANA MURTHY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR SERVICES II

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Mr.M.Vijay Kumar

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER: (BY GB,J.)

The Writ Petition is filed against the order dated 14-9-2004 passed by the A.P. Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad in O.A.No.5193 of 2004.

2. The petitioner approached the tribunal seeking relaxation of age for appearing Group I Services, wherein maximum age limit was fixed as 33 years and the petitioner claims age relaxation to 34 years. The said O.A. came to be dismissed by an order dated 14-9-2004.

3. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and also learned Government Pleader for Services II.

4. Inasmuch as the notification itself specifically mentioned the maximum age as 33 years, the petitioner cannot have any legal right to claim the relaxation. In the absence of any statutory provision, we do not find any illegality in the order passed by the tribunal and the Writ Petition is liable to be dismissed.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

(G. BIKSHAPATHY, J.)

Date: 25-11-2004

_____

(P.S. NARAYANA, J.)

ES

To

1 The Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh,

General Administration Department,
Secretariate, Hyderabad.

2 The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission,

Hyderabad.

3 2CCs to the G.P for Services II, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad(O.U.T).

4 2CD copies